





Why landscape-level conservation approach?

Reflecting on our historic approach to conservation

- 1. efforts insufficient given scale and scope of the challenge
- 2. most of the species of concern occur outside of PAs
- 3. reliance on <u>PAs proven insufficient</u> ... underlying assumption of <u>stability</u>

Reflecting on management imperative

Reflecting on species' behavioral response to change

Reflecting on genetic & ability to adapt







Why landscape-level conservation approach?

Reflecting on management imperative

- 4. observed changes, increase in the rate of change
- 5. manage non-linear response variables... maintain healthy ecosystems
- 6. other stressors enhance sensitivity to CC impacts ~ "recalibrate"





























<section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text>



















alignment....LCCs moved from edge-mapping (*ex.* SECAS) "to *resolving the fringe*"





























Take away:

Working at a Landscape-level

LCCs offer a 'conservation engine' (forum) (framework) (mechanism) (institutional partnership)

to achieve a connected landscape and help maintain genetic diversity and resilience,

to facilitate management-relevant research, and the development of predictive models and tools, inform decision-making,

to help build the core competencies and organizational capacity, and

to catalyze conservation delivery and outreach as a system of conservation networks









Source: Rob Campellone, Policy NWR System	
S LCD evolution / understanding, guidance, framing	
Refere	Landscape conservation design and the icCASS Platform: An adaptation pathway for social transformability in sustainability planning Compliant B. M. Characel T. M. Isabelli, N. A. Callo, J. A. Lepe, J. R. McCennek, R. J. Meural, T. A. Mary, B. A. ManuscriptSummary ManuscriptSummary August 2016 Introduction State of the instantion planning in systematic, rigid, and respective waves that design constitute adaption pathway—a ence: (http://www.journals.elsevier.com/landscapeandurbanplanning)
iCASS i innovation	iCASS
C Convene	Innovation to address <i>"Wicked Problems"</i>
A Assessment S Spatial	
S Strategy	



















(20) MODEL 'SEEDS' => TARGETS (TO CAPTURE PR)

Species Distribution Model (SDM)

Black bear distribution (used to create "cost" surface = connectivity)

- 1. Hellbender
- 2. Brook Trout
- 3. Spotted Skunk
- 4. Golden-winged warbler
- 5. Prairie Warbler
- 6. Red Spruce
- 7. Cave Obligate Aquatic Sp. Richness
- 8. Cave Obligate Terrestrial Sp. Richness

Special Places

- 9. Typic Foothills Cove Forest
- 10. Typic Montane Cove Forest
- 11. Rich Montane Cove Forest
- 12. Shale Barrens
- 13. Rock Outcrops
- 14. Acidic Fens *later removed from model (under revision)

Key Features

- 15. Moderate gradient, warm headwaters
- 16. Headwaters > 3k feet in elevation
- 17. Top (10%) resilient sites (TNC)
- Lowland Mature Forest (= Roadless forest blocks > 75% canopy cover)
- 19. Forested Wetlands
- 20. Least likely to depart from historical climate regimes







































