#1

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Tuesday, March 29, 2022 10:27:36 AM
Last Modified:	Tuesday, March 29, 2022 10:29:45 AM
Time Spent:	00:02:09
IP Address:	174.56.60.174

Page 1

Q1

No

Have you filled this feedback form out before?

Q2

Name/Email

Name	**tester**
Email Address	**tester@gmail.com**

Q3

What was your overall experience using the tool today?

Logging into the tool	**Neutral**
Using the search criteria filters	**Neutral**
Accessing help/technical documentation	**Worked ok**
Downloading records	**Worked great**

Q4

How well did the tool serve your research needs?

☆

Comments:

4

If this tool helps you find relevant literature for a publication, please reference it in the methods section of your manuscript with the following suggested text: "We identified n (your number here) references using an interactive search tool that was developed to support a systematic map of the literature on forest bird-vegetation relationships (Lott et al. , Lott et al. 2019, Lott et al. 2021)". Note: This first reference on this list is for the online tool itself (full citation below). The other two citations are for supporting publications that identified references to be included in the visualization tool: one describes the systematic map of primary literature on forest-bird vegetation relationships and the other describes an overview of review papers on this same topic (full citations below). If this tool helps you find relevant literature for a publication, please reference it in the methods section of your manuscript with the following suggested text: "We identified n (your number here) references using an interactive search tool that was developed to support a systematic map of the literature on forest bird-vegetation relationships (Lott et al. , Lott et al. 2019, Lott et al. 2021)". Note: This first reference on this list is for the online tool itself (full citation below). The other two citations are for supporting publications that identified references to be included in the visualization tool: one describes the systematic map of primary literature on forest-bird vegetation relationships and the other describes an overview of review papers on this same topic (full citations below). If this tool helps you find relevant literature for a publication, please reference it in the methods section of your manuscript with the following suggested text: "We identified n (your number here) references using an interactive search tool that was developed to support a systematic map of the literature on forest bird-vegetation relationships (Lott et al. , Lott et al. 2019, Lott et al. 2021)". Note: This first reference on this list is for the online tool itself (full citation below). The other two citations are for supporting publications that identified references to be included in the visualization tool: one describes the systematic map of primary literature on forest-bird vegetation relationships and the other describes an overview of review papers on this same topic (full citations below).

Q5

Did you run into any issues/bugs

No

Q6

Bug/Issue

Respondent skipped this question

Q7

Is there a paper that you believe should be added to the tool? If so, please provide citation information.

Respondent skipped this question

Q8

What size screen were you using during testing (best guess fine)

Respondent skipped this question

Q9

What browser(s) were you using during testing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q10

Any additional feedback?

If this tool helps you find relevant literature for a publication, please reference it in the methods section of your manuscript with the following suggested text: "We identified n (your number here) references using an interactive search tool that was developed to support a systematic map of the literature on forest bird-vegetation relationships (Lott et al. , Lott et al. 2019, Lott et al. 2021)".

Note: This first reference on this list is for the online tool itself (full citation below). The other two citations are for supporting publications that identified references to be included in the visualization tool: one describes the systematic map of primary literature on forest-bird vegetation relationships and the other describes an overview of review papers on this same topic (full citations below).
If this tool helps you find relevant literature for a publication, please reference it in the methods section of your manuscript with the following suggested text: "We identified n (your number here) references using an interactive search tool that was developed to support a systematic map of the literature on forest bird-vegetation relationships (Lott et al. , Lott et al. 2019, Lott et al. 2021)".

Note: This first reference on this list is for the online tool itself (full citation below). The other two citations are for supporting publications that identified references to be included in the visualization tool: one describes the systematic map of primary literature on forest-bird vegetation relationships and the other describes an overview of review papers on this same topic (full citations below).
If this tool helps you find relevant literature for a publication, please reference it in the methods section of your manuscript with the following suggested text: "We identified n (your number here) references using an interactive search tool that was developed to support a systematic map of the literature on forest bird-vegetation relationships (Lott et al. , Lott et al. 2019, Lott et al. 2021)".

Note: This first reference on this list is for the online tool itself (full citation below). The other two citations are for supporting publications that identified references to be included in the visualization tool: one describes the systematic map of primary literature on forest-bird vegetation relationships and the other describes an overview of review papers on this same topic (full citations below).

#2

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Monday, April 04, 2022 1:34:05 PM
Last Modified:	Monday, April 04, 2022 1:45:10 PM
Time Spent:	00:11:05
IP Address:	47.208.135.89

Page 1

Q1

Have you filled this feedback form out before?

No

Q2

Name/Email

Name

Colin Sheppard

Email Address

colinthegaunt@gmail.com

Q3

What was your overall experience using the tool today?

Logging into the tool	**Some Issues**
Using the search criteria filters	**Worked great**
Accessing help/technical documentation	**Worked great**
Downloading records	**Worked great**
Comments::	Overall this tool is fantastic and I can see how useful it would be for doing lit review. Some suggestions for improvement: * Allow users to download their selected bibliography in a format that allows for quick / easy integration into standard tools for citing papers in publications (e.g. bibtex, Zotero RDF, etc.), some ideas: https://guides.zsr.wfu.edu/zotero/import * Allow click and drag to select multiple bars at a time. * Give users option to modify the default boolean relationships, i.e. what if I wanted only lit with Wood thrush AND Ovenbird? * Add a form allowing users to suggest new literature to add to the system. The process from suggestion -> review/approval -> data-processing necessary to add to system should eventually be as automated as possible to allow the database overall to scale. * Open-source and link to the tooling behind this tool so that others can contribute improvements and use it for other domains.

Q4

How well did the tool serve your research needs?

Comments:	No comment, I'm not a domain expert in this area.

Q5

Did you run into any issues/bugs

No,
Specifics::
No major issues, however I found the experience of registering to be a bit awkward. Seems like I was already entered into the system and a username was chosen for me. In general I'd recommend just using the email address as the username, it reduces confusion and is less unique data you need to worry about for each user.

Q6

Bug/Issue

Respondent skipped this question

Q7

Is there a paper that you believe should be added to the tool? If so, please provide citation information.

Respondent skipped this question

Q8

What size screen were you using during testing (best guess fine)

Respondent skipped this question

Q9

What browser(s) were you using during testing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q10

Any additional feedback?

Respondent skipped this question

#3

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Wednesday, April 06, 2022 5:47:03 AM
Last Modified:	Wednesday, April 06, 2022 5:57:09 AM
Time Spent:	00:10:06
IP Address:	75.134.65.143

Page 1

Q1

Have you filled this feedback form out before?

No

Q2

Name/Email

Name

Michael Akresh

Email Address

makresh@antioch.edu

Q3

What was your overall experience using the tool today?

Logging into the tool	**Some Issues**
Using the search criteria filters	**Some Issues**
Accessing help/technical documentation	**Worked ok**
Downloading records	**Worked great**
Comments::	Nice job so far! It might be useful to have an initial 'Welcome' Page, with some info and a button where the user can click to access the site tour. I initially was not seeing how to get to Google Scholar link – I was thinking that this would be displayed when clicking on the point in the map pop-up window. There are a number of scrolling bars on the far right - only later on did I figure out that I could scroll down on one of those bars, to get to the link and see the abstract. On my screen, I initially couldn't see that. It seems like the most useful thing for researchers is to download the csv file to see all of the data. Perhaps a table with the data could be shown on the website/tool somewhere, rather than having to download the excel file? I see there is a way to see a table with year and the title, but not sure why you can see the other columns. Would there be a way to use AND on the first page, to search for multiple species at the same time? Is there also a way to use AND on the second page, to add keywords together? Can the bird species be sorted taxonomically?

Q4

How well did the tool serve your research needs?

☆	**4**

Q5	**No**

Did you run into any issues/bugs

Q6	**Respondent skipped this question**

Bug/Issue

Q7	**Respondent skipped this question**

Is there a paper that you believe should be added to the tool? If so, please provide citation information.

Q8

What size screen were you using during testing (best guess fine)

Respondent skipped this question

Q9

What browser(s) were you using during testing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q10

Any additional feedback?

Respondent skipped this question

#4

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Thursday, April 07, 2022 6:59:21 AM
Last Modified:	Thursday, April 07, 2022 7:29:55 AM
Time Spent:	00:30:34
IP Address:	199.145.102.66

Page 1

Q1   **No**

Have you filled this feedback form out before?

Q2

Name/Email

Name   **Kristin Fuoco (Bomboy)**

Email Address   **kristinbomboy@gmail.com**

Q3

What was your overall experience using the tool today?

Logging into the tool	**Worked great**
Using the search criteria filters	**Some Issues**
Accessing help/technical documentation	**Worked ok**
Downloading records	**Worked great**
Comments::	-When searching for Golden-winged Warbler, then narrowing to 'group comparison type' of "before and after", no results come up in the word cloud or the map, but when you hover over the graph it says 3 out of 48 results. Not sure if that's just because there's so few results but I couldn't access those 3 studies. -Also it's kind of frustrating in general that you can't narrow the columns. For example if you're searching for x species (or x, y and z species), it would be convenient to be able to select the species and then narrow that column out of the way so that you can see the other columns easier. -I gave the "accessing help/technical documentation" section 'worked ok' because it is functionally fine but it would be convenient if it could be divided into drop down sections or something more easy to narrow what you're looking for than ctrl+f (All that being said, I am not a technology whiz and I have no idea if these are huge add-ons or easy add-ons! I think the tool functionally works fine and is overall very accessible, those are just some thoughts I had)

Q4

How well did the tool serve your research needs?

☆	**4**

Q5

Did you run into any issues/bugs

Yes,
Specifics::
see previous comments

Q6

Bug/Issue

Respondent skipped this question

Q7

Is there a paper that you believe should be added to the tool? If so, please provide citation information.

Respondent skipped this question

Q8

What size screen were you using during testing (best guess fine)

Respondent skipped this question

Q9

What browser(s) were you using during testing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q10

Any additional feedback?

Respondent skipped this question

#5

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Sunday, April 10, 2022 10:03:26 AM
Last Modified:	Sunday, April 10, 2022 10:08:57 AM
Time Spent:	00:05:31
IP Address:	174.203.129.208

Page 1

Q1

Have you filled this feedback form out before?

No

Q2

Name/Email

Name	**Jeff Larkin**
Email Address	**larkin@iup.edu**

Q3

What was your overall experience using the tool today?

Logging into the tool	**Worked great**
Using the search criteria filters	**Worked ok**
Accessing help/technical documentation	**Worked great**
Downloading records	**Worked great**
Comments::	Well done, Team!

Q4

How well did the tool serve your research needs?

☆	**4**

Q5

Did you run into any issues/bugs

No

Q6

Bug/Issue

Respondent skipped this question

Q7

Is there a paper that you believe should be added to the tool? If so, please provide citation information.

Respondent skipped this question

Q8

What size screen were you using during testing (best guess fine)

15"

Q9

What browser(s) were you using during testing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q10

Any additional feedback?

Respondent skipped this question

#6

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Sunday, April 10, 2022 10:13:32 AM
Last Modified:	Sunday, April 10, 2022 10:16:15 AM
Time Spent:	00:02:43
IP Address:	174.203.129.208

Page 1

Q1
Have you filled this feedback form out before?

Respondent skipped this question

Q2
Name/Email

Name	**Jeff Larkin**
Email Address	**larkin@iup.edu**

Q3
What was your overall experience using the tool today?

Respondent skipped this question

Q4
How well did the tool serve your research needs?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5
Did you run into any issues/bugs

Respondent skipped this question

Q6
Bug/Issue

Respondent skipped this question

Q7

Is there a paper that you believe should be added to the tool? If so, please provide citation information.

I served Golden-winged warbler and found that the following paper was missing:

Patton, L.L., D.S. Maehr, J E. Duchamp, S. Fei, J.W. Gassett and J.L. Larkin. 2010. Do the Golden-winged Warbler and Blue-winged Warbler Exhibit Species-specific Differences in their Breeding Habitat Use?. Avian Conservation and Ecology 5 (2): 2. [online] URL: http://www.ace-eco.org/vol5/iss2/art2/

The following paper was also missing, but it could be because the literature review was competed prior to its late 2020 publication

Fiss, C.J., D. J. McNeil, A.D. Rodewald, J.E. Duchamp, and J.L. Larkin. 2020. Post-fledging Golden-winged Warblers require forests with multiple stand developmental stages. Condor https://doi.org/10.1093/condor/duaa052

Q8

What size screen were you using during testing (best guess fine)

Respondent skipped this question

Q9

What browser(s) were you using during testing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q10

Any additional feedback?

Respondent skipped this question

#7

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Wednesday, April 13, 2022 6:19:20 AM
Last Modified:	Wednesday, April 13, 2022 6:41:16 AM
Time Spent:	00:21:55
IP Address:	75.134.65.143

Page 1

Q1

Have you filled this feedback form out before?

Yes

Q2

Name/Email

Name

Michael Akresh

Email Address

makresh@antioch.edu

Q3

What was your overall experience using the tool today?

Logging into the tool	**Worked great**
Using the search criteria filters	**Worked ok**
Accessing help/technical documentation	**Worked ok**
Downloading records	**Worked ok**
Comments::	In the site tour, I think the wording on the first page could be edited, because the word 'search' is used too often, and in different ways (users searching for literature from the database we created, and then our search methods). Actually much of the info might not be needed, perhaps change to "This tool allows users to search for literature on bird species-vegetation relationships in eastern and boreal forests of North America. The literature within the database was found from 65 'sources?' and was intensively screened by subject experts to determine whether each reference met pre-defined eligibility criteria, and a total of 1,976 primary research papers and 391 review papers are included herein." There's only 12 references for woodcock, and only 19 for wild turkey? Did we end up looking at game bird papers in our review of articles? I actually recently published a paper with game birds : https://bioone.org/journals/northeastern-naturalist/volume-29/issue-1/045.029.0102/Observations-of-Snakes-and-Game-Birds-in-a-Managed-Pine/10.1656/045.029.0102.full , but we didn't have abundance values for these species, so not sure if it should make it into the database. However, there are papers cited within this manuscript that might be missing from the database? For example, Devlin, C.M. 1997. Population dynamics and habitat selection of eastern wild turkeys in the southern pinelands of New Jersey. M.Sc. Thesis. Rutgers The State University of New Jersey-New Brunswick, New Brunswick, NJ. 94 pp. Or maybe this is out of the Appalachians? Rather than searching by the keywords, is there a way to search for specific authors?

Q4

How well did the tool serve your research needs?

☆	**4**

Q5

Did you run into any issues/bugs	**No**

Q6

Bug/Issue

Respondent skipped this question

Q7

Is there a paper that you believe should be added to the tool? If so, please provide citation information.

Respondent skipped this question

Q8

What size screen were you using during testing (best guess fine)

12 x 24

Q9

What browser(s) were you using during testing?

Safari

Q10

Any additional feedback?

Respondent skipped this question

#8

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:34:30 AM
Last Modified:	Wednesday, April 13, 2022 10:10:11 AM
Time Spent:	00:35:41
IP Address:	160.3.67.23

Page 1

Q1

Have you filled this feedback form out before?

No

Q2

Name/Email

Name	**Chris McClure**
Email Address	**cmcclure@peregrinefund.org**

Q3

What was your overall experience using the tool today?

Logging into the tool	**Worked great**
Using the search criteria filters	**Some Issues**
Accessing help/technical documentation	**Worked great**
Downloading records	**Worked great**
Comments::	It was hard to get the keyword frequency pane to stay alphabetical. When I moved the mouse from the sort button it kept bouncing back to being sorted by frequency. It was hard to search for climate change. I guess I typed the word 'climate' too fast, which outran the autofill feature and only brought up 'microclimate'. I realized later that if I type more slowly it brings up climate change as I type, or I can type in 'climat' and it works. Long story short- if you type in 'climate' it doesn't bring up climate change and that is unintuitive.

Q4

How well did the tool serve your research needs?

☆	**5**

Q5

Did you run into any issues/bugs

Yes,

Specifics::
Looks like one of the Alabama studies isn't in Alabama.

Q6

Bug/Issue

2022-04-13 10_05_49-Gateway Tool — The Literature Gateway.png (504KB)

Q7

Is there a paper that you believe should be added to the tool? If so, please provide citation information.

Respondent skipped this question

Q8

What size screen were you using during testing (best guess fine)

15 in

Q9

What browser(s) were you using during testing?

Chrome

Q10

Any additional feedback?

Respondent skipped this question